STRESS-STRAIN ANALYSIS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL CAGE USING THE NUMERICAL SIMULATION MODEL
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The strength and stiffness of the differential cage is very important issue, because it affects the functionality of the other components of powered axles. The problem is that for the stress analysis of the differential cage is not possible to use conventional strength and elasticity approaches, because the differential cage has very complex geometrical shape and is also loaded by the combination of forces generated by the load engagement of the bevel gear. Therefore numerical simulations are more and more frequently used to solve this complex problem when the main task is creation the computational model that correspond the real state. The present paper deals with designing the computational model of the cage differential drive of the rear powered axle of utility vehicle. This model is then used for the strength structural analysis of the differential cage assembly. Presented computational model takes into account also the load of the differential cage thanks to the preload in strength bolts which join the bevel crown gear and differential cage.
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1. Introduction

Commercial trucks usually use classic power scheme which means the engine in the front section and rear powered axle [7]. In the middle of the bridge axle is located the bevel gear drive and differential, which create the final gear and distribute the drive torque from the input axle through the right and left drive shaft to the vehicle wheels [10], Fig. 1.

The differential gear train mechanism is used to transmit the power from the engine-gear box system to the rear wheels of an automobile, and to rotate the rear wheels at different speeds while the automobile is taking a turn [1]. The differential is the transmission axle, with two degrees of freedom [9], which provides automatic balancing the different wheel speeds due to the different paths when the vehicle is driving in a curve, as it shown in Fig. 2. This functionality prevents introducing parasitic stress in axles and reduces the tire wear. From this perspective, it is a very important mechanism because its improper function may adversely affect the other parts of the vehicle transmission. There are many publications focused on the determination of the kinematic relationships of different designs of differentials, like [1, 5, 6]. The present paper deals with the strength and toughness analysis, which is a key issue in the engineering practice. For the powered rear axle of the truck is most commonly
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used the conical differential with two planet wheels and four satellites which are arranged symmetrically on the differential cross pin, see Fig. 3. Kinematic differential ratio can be determined according [2].

If $B_{12}$ is the wheels gauge, $r_d$ tires dynamic radius, $R$ the radius of the circle that circumscribes the axle centreline with the speed $v_d$, than the angular speed of the inner and outer wheel can be determined according to equations (1), (2).

$$\omega_i = \frac{v_i}{r_d} = \frac{R - \frac{B_{12}}{2}}{r_d R} v_d,$$

$$\omega_o = \frac{v_o}{r_d} = \frac{R + \frac{B_{12}}{2}}{r_d R} v_d.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1), (2)

The difference between angular speed $\omega_o - \omega_i$ of the inner and outer wheel is balanced thanks to the differential with the relative turning of planet gears (Fig. 3).

2. Computational model of the differential

For numerical calculations using finite element method the CAD model of differential cage was firstly created, see Fig. 4. Basic components are the bevel crown gear (1) and the left (2) and right (3) differential cage. Both differential cages are joined with eight bolts (4)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$R_{\text{e}} \leq \text{MPa}$</th>
<th>$m$ [kg]</th>
<th>$I_x$ [kg.m$^2$]</th>
<th>$I_y$ [kg.m$^2$]</th>
<th>$I_z$ [kg.m$^2$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bevel crown gear</td>
<td>16MnCr5</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>8.287</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left differential cage</td>
<td>15 230.7</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>14.719</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right differential cage</td>
<td>15 230.7</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>8.021</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab.1: Weight and moments of inertia for individual components of the computational model

Fig.4: CAD model of the differential – disintegration view (left), assembly (right)

and the crown wheel on the left side with twelve bolts (5). The weight and moments of inertia $I_x$, $I_y$ and $I_z$ indexed to $x$, $y$, $z$ axis for the individual assembly components shows Tab. 1.

Created computational model is supplemented by the boundary conditions describing statically determinate clamping of the left and right differential cage in ball bearings. The cylindrical surface of the right bearing cage cannot move in $x$ and $z$ direction and left cage in $x$, $y$, $z$ direction. To create the physical contact between the individual assembly bodies the contact condition that prohibits the surface penetration of one body to another is applied. These structures provide transmission of deformation and force between assembly bodies. FEM volume mesh of the whole assembly is composed of linear tetrahedral elements. The resulting computational model has 299308 elements and 69432 node points.

The geometry of 3D model was created as the solid model in Catia V5. Than the package Hyperworks was used for the preparation of simulation mesh and evaluation of computational results. The main parts of this computational package are Hypermesh pre-processor, computational core RADIOSS and post-processor HyperView.

2.1. Force analysis of the differential cage

The differential cage is loaded by the tangent, radial and axial force that initiate in the bevel crown gear in computational point P, see Fig. 5. Crown wheel then transfers these forces to self differential cage. The size and orientation of forces in the bevel crown gear depends on gearing parameters (e.g. gearing angle $\beta_p$) but also on the orientation of the input torque moment. During the computation is important to respect two different loading states and thus the forward and reverse driving. Forces were always set for the maximum loading mode which means driving on the adhesion limit for defining axle load $m_H$ (axle pressure), stated by legislation. For the forward driving the force effect can be determine according to [3] using the equations (3), (4) and (5).
Tangent force at crown wheel

\[ F_t = \frac{2 M_p}{d_{P1}}. \]  

(3)

Radial force at crown wheel

\[ F_r = F_t \frac{\tan \alpha_{nP} \cos \delta_2 + \sin \beta_{P} \sin \delta_2}{\cos \beta_{P}}. \]  

(4)

Axial force at crown wheel

\[ F_a = F_t \frac{\tan \alpha_{nP} \sin \delta_2 - \sin \beta_{P} \cos \delta_2}{\cos \beta_{P}}. \]  

(5)

The differential cage is also loaded by the axial compression stress thanks to pre-stressing forces initiate by individual bolts that join the crown wheel and the left differential cage. The reason of this preload is to assure uni-axial tensile stress in bolts and thus prevent initiation of shear stresses. Thanks to that the fatigue lifetime of screwed joints can be prolonged. The equation for the pre-stressing force of one bolt of the crown wheel – left differential cage is possible to determine using (6).

\[ Q = \frac{M_p i_{ST}}{f \frac{D_s + d_s}{4} i_s}. \]  

(6)

The individual force values for the maximal weight capacity of one axle \( m_H = 11\,500\,\text{kg} \) and \( 13\,000\,\text{kg} \) are shown in the Table 2. These resulting values are then used as boundary conditions for numerical calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading effect of differential cage</th>
<th>Loading of differential cage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forward driving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangent force at crown wheel ( F_t ) [N]</td>
<td>105 473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial force at crown wheel ( F_r ) [N]</td>
<td>95 577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial force at crown wheel ( F_a ) [N]</td>
<td>1149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preload of bolts ( Q ) [N]</td>
<td>64 421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab.2: Force analysis of differential cage
3. Results of the stress analysis

For each element of the computational model the stress tensor \( \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}\} \) was determined. This tensor composes of six elements. Three represent normal stresses \( \sigma \) and the rest three shear stresses \( \tau \) [4, 8]. The reduced stress using ‘von Mises’ hypothesis is then calculated according to equation (7).

\[
\sigma_{\text{red,Mises}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} [(\sigma_x - \sigma_y)^2 + (\sigma_x - \sigma_z)^2 + (\sigma_y - \sigma_z)^2 + 6(\tau_{xy}^2 + \tau_{xz}^2 + \tau_{yz}^2)]}.
\] (7)

The result of stress analysis of the differential cage of the rear powered axle is then the reduced stress and strain field. Maximal stress and strain values are also important for the investigation of the stress and stiffness level of studied differential cage. Results of stress-strain analysis are shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loading mode of differential cage</th>
<th>Forward driving</th>
<th>Reverse driving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximal global stress [MPa]</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal global deformation [MPa]</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal stress – bolts holes [MPa]</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal strain – bolts holes [mm]</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety factor to yield strength [-]</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab.3: Results of stress-strain analysis of differential cage

Different orientation and size of forces in the bevel gearing crown wheel load mode during forward and reverse driving causes the different values of maximum stress respectively the deformation of the differential cage. It is also possible to notice that the maximal stress values are placed in different areas. Stress distribution in the differential cage for the forward driving is shown in Figures 6, 7 and 8. The same situation for the reverse driving is shown in Figures 9, 10 and 11.

Maximal global stress for the forward driving is found in a fit of casting for the installation of the crown wheel, see Fig. 6. Contrary the maximal global stress for reverse driving is in the area of radial hole for receiving the differential cross pin. Maximal stresses do not reach the yield strength of used materials and the permanent strains do not occur. Strain fields are displayed in Figures 7 and 10. In the forward driving mode of the vehicle the larger area of the left cage flange is affected in comparison to the reverse driving mode. The crown wheel is joined to this flange. Results show that stresses and strains in the differential cage assembly are higher for the reverse driving mode and lower for the forward driving mode. The maximal stress is about 14% higher and the maximal strain about 45%. The most stressed part of the differential is the left cage. If the weight capacity is increasing from 11,500 kg to 13,000 kg the strain value is higher at about 13%.

Comparative model with the differential load without preloading forces of the crown wheel bolt – left differential cage was also calculated. It was investigated that these forces influence only the local stress of the differential cage around the screw and resulting calculated values do not reach the maximum global value.
Fig. 6: Stress field in differential cage (using ‘von Mises’ hypothesis) – forward driving, maximal weight capacity for one axle 11 500 kg, left view

Fig. 7: Stress field in differential cage (using ‘von Mises’ hypothesis) with the detail of the maximal stress – forward driving, hidden crown wheel, maximal weight capacity for one axle 11 500 kg, right view
Fig. 8: Strain field in differential cage – forward driving, maximal weight capacity for one axle 11 500 kg, left view.

Fig. 9: Stress field in differential cage (using ‘von Mises’ hypothesis) – reverse driving, maximal weight capacity for one axle 11 500 kg, left view.
Fig. 10: Stress field in differential cage (using ‘von Mises’ hypothesis) with the detail of the maximal stress – reverse driving, hidden crown wheel, maximal weight capacity for one axle 11 500 kg, right view.

Fig. 11: Strain field in differential cage – reverse driving, maximal weight capacity for one axle 11 500 kg, left view.
4. Conclusion

The stress-strain analysis of differential cage of the rear powered axle of utility vehicle using FEM simulation model was introduced in the paper. Simulation model was prepared as an assembly of individual components like the bevel gear, the left and right differential cage and joining bolts. The computational model was completed with the boundary condition concerning kinematic structures between the individual bodies. Prepared model was subsequently loaded with forces that arise during gearing. Moreover the additional load causes by the preload in bolts joining the differential cage and crown wheel was also simulated. The stress analysis was investigated for different limits of the maximal weight capacity of an axle and also for different driving conditions which means the forward and reverse driving. Acquired results are reduced stresses and strains corresponding to the particular conditions. Results show that the differential cage is more loaded in case of the reverse driving whereas the stress values increasing continuously also with the weight capacity limit. Furthermore it was investigated that the left differential cage is more loaded than the right one. The maximal stress values for all computed cases are under the yield strength limit of used materials. From this results that computed strains are in this case elastic and probability of rupture is minimal.

Nomenclature

\begin{align*}
B_{12} & \quad \text{Wheel gauge [m]} \\
v_i & \quad \text{Circumferential speed of inner wheel [m/s]} \\
v_o & \quad \text{Circumferential speed of outer wheel [m/s]} \\
\omega_i & \quad \text{Angular speed of inner wheel [rad/s]} \\
\omega_o & \quad \text{Angular speed of outer wheel [rad/s]} \\
v_d & \quad \text{Angular speed of axle centre [m/s]} \\
r_d & \quad \text{Dynamical radius of tire [m]} \\
R & \quad \text{Curve radius [m]} \\
m_H & \quad \text{Maximal effective weight for axle [kg]} \\
M_P & \quad \text{Moment at pinion – bevel gear [Nm]} \\
Q & \quad \text{Biasing force of the bolt ring gear ã differential cage [N]} \\
i_{ST} & \quad \text{Permanent transfer gear [-]} \\
f & \quad \text{Friction coefficient [-]} \\
D_s & \quad \text{Outer diameter of crown wheel – differential cage [mm]} \\
d_s & \quad \text{Inner diameter of crown wheel – differential cage [mm]} \\
P & \quad \text{Computational point of bevel gear [-]} \\
i_s & \quad \text{Number of bolts [-]} \\
F_t & \quad \text{Tangent force at crown wheel [N]} \\
F_r & \quad \text{Radial force at crown wheel [N]} \\
F_a & \quad \text{Axial force at crown wheel [N]} \\
d_{P1} & \quad \text{Middle spacing diameter of pinion gear [N]} \\
\beta_P & \quad \text{Slope angle of gearing in point P [°]} \\
\delta_2 & \quad \text{Cone angle of crown wheel [°]} \\
\alpha_{nP} & \quad \text{Gearing angle in computational point P [°]} \\
m & \quad \text{Weight [kg]} \\
R_{e\text{min}} & \quad \text{Minimal yield strength [MPa]} \\
I_x & \quad \text{Moment of inertia in direction of x axis [kg.m}^2\text{]} \\
I_y & \quad \text{Moment of inertia in direction of y axis [kg.m}^2\text{]} \\
I_z & \quad \text{Moment of inertia in direction of z axis [kg.m}^2\text{]} \\
\sigma_x & \quad \text{Normal stress in direction of x axis [MPa]} 
\end{align*}
\[ \sigma_y \quad \text{Normal stress in direction of } y \text{ axis} \quad [\text{MPa}] \]
\[ \sigma_z \quad \text{Normal stress in direction of } z \text{ axis} \quad [\text{MPa}] \]
\[ \tau_{xy} \quad \text{Shearing stress in plane } xy \quad [\text{MPa}] \]
\[ \tau_{xz} \quad \text{Shearing stress in plane } xz \quad [\text{MPa}] \]
\[ \tau_{yz} \quad \text{Shearing stress in plane } yz \quad [\text{MPa}] \]
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